

CS 7220 – Computational Complexity and Algorithm Analysis

Spring 2016

Proof of Cook's Theorem

Pascal Hitzler

Data Semantics Laboratory
Wright State University, Dayton, OH
<http://www.pascal-Hitzler.de>



1. **SAT is in NP**
2. **SAT is NP-hard**

$$F = \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \left(\bigvee_{j=1}^m L_{i,j} \right) \right)$$

- **Non-deterministically pick a truth assignment.**
Represent this in a look-up table.
[linear in number of literals]
- **Check if truth assignment satisfies F.**
[quadratic – because of comparison of input with table entries]

- **Formally, we need to do this on a TM – the encoding is a bit unwieldy, but straightforward.**

1. SAT is in NP
2. SAT is NP-hard

- Give a logical formula which transforms computations of a TM M with input string u into a formula $f(u)$ s.t.

u is accepted iff $f(u)$ is satisfiable.

- + show that transformation is polynomial.

- [$f(u)$ doesn't have to be in CNF because of Exercise 30]

ND TM M:

- **states:** q_0, \dots, q_m
- **alphabet:** $B = a_0, \dots, a_t$
- **accepting state:** q_m
- **rejecting state:** q_{m-1} (only one)

$p(n)$ polynomial which is upper bound to number of computations

Boolean variables:

- $Q_{i,k}$ M is in state q_i at time k
- $P_{j,k}$ Tape head is in position j at time k
- $S_{j,r,k}$ Tape position j contains symbol a_r at time k

SAT is NP-hard: Clauses *i* & *ii*

	Clause	Conditions	Interpretation
i)	<u>State</u> $\bigvee_{i=0}^m Q_{i,k}$	$0 \leq k \leq p(n)$	For each time k , M is in at least one state [$p(n)$ clauses, m literals each]
	$\neg Q_{i,k} \vee \neg Q_{i',k}$	$0 \leq i < i' \leq m$ $0 \leq k \leq p(n)$	M is in at most one state at any time [$O(m^2) \times p(n)$ clauses]
ii)	<u>Tape head</u> $\bigvee_{j=0}^{p(n)} P_{j,k}$	$0 \leq k \leq p(n)$	For each time k , the tape head is in at least one position [$p(n)$ clauses, $p(n)$ literals each]
	$\neg P_{j,k} \vee \neg P_{j',k}$	$0 \leq j < j' \leq p(n)$ $0 \leq k \leq p(n)$...and at most one position [$O(p(n)^3)$ clauses]

Clause	Conditions	Interpretation
iii) <u>Symbols</u> $\bigvee_{r=0}^t S_{j,r,k}$	$0 \leq j \leq p(n)$ $0 \leq k \leq p(n)$	For each time k and position j , position j contains at least one symbol [$p(n)^2$ clauses, t literals each]
$\neg S_{j,r,k} \vee \neg S_{j,r',k}$	$0 \leq j \leq p(n)$ $0 \leq r < r' \leq t$ $0 \leq k \leq p(n)$... and at most one symbol [$O(t^2) \times p(n)^2$ clauses]

SAT is NP-hard: Clauses *iv* & *v*

	Clause	Interpretation
iv)	<u>Initialization</u>	
	$Q_{0,0}$	Begin in state 0
	$P_{0,0}$...reading leftmost tape cell (position 0)
	$S_{0,0,0}$...which contains a blank (symbol 0)
	$S_{1,r1,0}$	The next n symbols contain the input string,
	$S_{2,r2,0}$	which we'll denote $a_{r1}, a_{r2}, \dots a_{rn}$
	...	
	$S_{n,rn,0}$	
	$S_{n+1,0,0}$	And the rest of the tape contains blanks...
	...	
	$S_{p(n),0,0}$... for the entire accessible portion
v)	<u>Final state</u>	The computation ends in q_m – the accepting state
	$Q_{m,p(n)}$	



A computation that satisfies all of these clauses still doesn't necessarily follow the rules of the machine, M .

Each state/symbol/position after time 0 must be obtained from the transition rules of M .

Tape Consistency

	Clause	Conditions	Interpretation
vi)	<u>Tape</u> <u>Changes</u>	$0 \leq j \leq p(n)$	Symbols not at the position of the tape head are unchanged
	$\neg S_{j,r,k} \vee P_{j,k} \vee S_{j,r,k+1}$	$0 \leq r \leq t$	$[p(n)^2 \times t \text{ clauses}]$
		$0 \leq k \leq p(n)$	

Converting rules in δ to clauses

$$\underbrace{\neg Q_{i,k} \vee \neg P_{j,k} \vee \neg S_{j,r,k}} \vee Q_{i',k+1}$$

If none of these are satisfied, then we are in state q_i and position j scanning symbol a_r at time k

In that case, the next state must be $Q_{i'}$ or the clause is not satisfied.

For each $\delta(q_i, a_r) = [q_{i'}, ?, ?]$

Same thing for tape symbols

$$\underbrace{\neg Q_{i,k} \vee \neg P_{j,k} \vee \neg S_{j,r,k}} \vee S_{j,r',k+1}$$

If none of these are satisfied, then we are in state Q_i and position P_j scanning symbol S_r at time k

In that case, the next symbol at position j must be $S_{r'}$ or the clause is not satisfied.

For each $\delta(q_i, a_r) = [?, a_{r'}, ?]$

Same thing for tape head position

$$\underbrace{\neg Q_{i,k} \vee \neg P_{j,k} \vee \neg S_{j,r,k}} \vee P_{j+n(d),k+1}$$

If none of these are satisfied, then we are in state Q_i and position P_j scanning symbol S_r at time k

In that case, the tape head will move either one position left or one position right.

Where $n(L) = -1$, and $n(R) = +1$
For each $\delta(q_i, a_r) = [?, ?, L/R]$

Consistency

The conjunction of these three clause types ensures that if we are in a certain state, reading a particular symbol at a particular time, we must be in the right configuration, according to δ in the following time step.

These are machine dependent.

Consistency clauses

Consistency clauses are constructed for every time, state, tape head position and tape symbol.

However, if we are scanning position 0 and attempt to move left, we go directly to the rejecting state.

Hey, wait a minute!

We've been talking like there is only one transition for each state/symbol pair, but this is a non-deterministic Turing machine, right?

Let $\text{trans}(i, j, r, k)$ be the disjunction of all the consistency clause sets for i, j, r, k . The resulting clause ensures that we are in some valid configuration following each transition.

And now we're done

	Clause	Interpretation
vi)	<u>Halted</u>	
	$\neg Q_{i,k} \vee \neg P_{j,k} \vee \neg S_{j,r,k} \vee Q_{i,k+1}$	same state
	$\neg Q_{i,k} \vee \neg P_{j,k} \vee \neg S_{j,r,k} \vee P_{j,k+1}$	same tape head position
	$\neg Q_{i,k} \vee \neg P_{j,k} \vee \neg S_{j,r,k} \vee S_{j,r,k+1}$	same symbol at position r

*For all appropriate j, r, k , and $i = q_{m-1}$,
and $i = q_m$*

What we've done so far...

We've defined a set of wff that are satisfiable if (and only if) some computation of ND TM M leads to an accepting final state.

Can the formula be created from any NDTM M *in polynomial time*?

- The values m and t are independent of the size of the input string. They don't grow with n .
- The number of clauses is polynomial in $p(n)$.

qed