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Motivation

- Biological neural networks can easily do logical reasoning.
- Why is it so difficult with artificial ones?
Artificial neural networks constitute a *robust and successful machine learning paradigm*.

But they are black boxes.

Symbolic logic provides *declaratively well understood knowledge representation and reasoning paradigms*.

Which lack robustness and powerful learning abilities.

We seek integrated paradigms retaining the best of both worlds!
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Biological neural nets

Neuron,
with dendrites, soma, and axon.
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Finite set of units (nodes, neurons) with connections.

In particular:

- Every unit computes a simple real input-output function.
- The units are blind concerning the sources of their input and the targets of their output.

Information (knowledge) is being represented by the (weighted) connections in the network!

▶ Connectionist systems.
The first-order challenge

We need to represent something infinite using finitely many nodes/weights!

Variable bindings?

\[ \text{male}(x) \land \text{hasSon}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{father}(x) \]

Term representation?

\[ \text{member}(X, [a, b, c|d, e]) \]

Infinite ground instantiations?

\[ \forall x (\text{prime}(x) \land \neg \text{equalTo}(x, 2) \rightarrow \text{odd}(x)) \]
Approach taken

Idea:
Hölldobler, Kalinke, Störr 1999

Given (first-order) logic program $P$. Represent semantic operator $T_P$ by I/O-function of a neural network.

$T_P$ can be understood to represent the (declarative) meaning of $P$.

\[
T_P : I_P \rightarrow I_P
\]

where $I_P = 2^{BP} \approx 2^N$ is space of all interpretations.

$T_P$ computes one-step consequences along $\leftarrow$.

\[
\text{odd}(x) \leftarrow \text{prime}(x) \land \neg \text{equalTo}(x, 2)
\]
Self-Similarity of $T_P$

Graph of $T_P$ visualized via embedding into $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

$R : I_P \to \mathbb{R} : I \mapsto \sum_{A \in I} B^{-l(A)}$, where $l : B_P \to \mathbb{N}$ injective, $B > 2$.

Representation of $T_P$-operator in the reals:

\[
\begin{align*}
n(0), \\
n(s(X)) & \leftarrow n(X). \\
\Rightarrow
\end{align*}
\]

Shows self-similarity by zooming in:
Examples of graphs of logic programs

Self-similarity observed for all programs.
(Hyperbolic) Iterated function systems (IFSs)

Can be used for generating self-similar images, e.g. the Sierpinski Triangle:

![IFS Diagram]

Idea: Use it for generating graph of $T_P$.

![Graph Diagram]
First representation theorem

$P$ logic program. $R : I_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $p$-adic embedding.

$(\mathbb{R}^2, d, \Omega = \{(\omega^1_i, \omega^2_i)\})$ hyperbolic IFS, attractor $A$.

Then

$$\text{graph}(R(T_P)) = A$$

iff

$$\pi_1(A) = \text{range}(R) \text{ and } R(T_P)(\omega^1_i(a)) = \omega^2_i(a) \text{ for all } a \in \text{graph}(R(T_P)) \text{ and all } i.$$
Second representation theorem

$P$ logic program with Lipschitz-continuous $R(T_P)$. Then there exists IFS with attractor graph($R(T_P)$).

Idea: Set $\omega^2_i(x) = R(T_P)(\omega^1_i(x))$. Choose $\omega^1_i(x)$ such that it generates range($R$). This is possible with arbitrarily small contraction, the necessary size of which can be determined by the Lipschitz constant of $R(T_P)$. 
Concrete approximation by interpolation

\[ a \in \mathbb{N} \text{ accuracy.} \]

\( l \) injective level mapping (enumeration of \( B_P \)).

Interpolation points: \((R(I), R(T_P(I)))\), where \( I \in D = \{ A \mid l(A) < a \} \).

IFS with \( \Omega_a = \{(\omega_1^i, \omega_2^i)\} \), where

\[
\omega_1^i(x) = \frac{1}{B^a} x + d_1^i \\
\omega_2^i(x) = \frac{1}{B^a} + R(T_P) (d_1^i) - \frac{R(T_P)(0)}{B^a}
\]

Attractors \( A_a \) are graphs of continuous functions.

\((A_a)_a\) converges in function space (with sup-metric) to \( R(T_P) \)
if \( R(T_P) \) Lipschitz-continuous.
Encoding as radial basis function network

\[ s' = \frac{1}{B^a} \sum s \]

\[ x' = \sum x \]

\[ y' = \sum y \]
Neural-symbolic integration research

We need to find constructive representations using standard architectures.
We need to study learning and information extraction.

We need to develop use cases to guide our research.
Recently: learning of ontologies for the Semantic Web.