

# What’s happening in Semantic Web ... and what FCA could have to do with it

Pascal Hitzler

Kno.e.sis Center, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio

The Semantic Web [27] is gaining momentum. Driven by over 10 years of focused project funding in the US and the EU, Semantic Web Technologies are now entering application areas in industry, academia, government, and the open Web.

The Semantic Web is based on the idea of describing the meaning – or semantics – of data on the Web using metadata – data that describes other data – in the form of ontologies, which are represented using logic-based knowledge-representation languages [26]. Central to the transfer of Semantic Web into practice is the Linked Open Data effort [7], which has already resulted in the publication, on the Web, of billions of pieces of information using ontology languages. This provides the basic data needed for establishing intelligent system applications on the Web in the tradition of Semantic Web Technologies.

Despite considerable success and progress, the field of Semantic Web Technologies still requires considerable conceptual advances in order to come to its full potential [23, 24, 29]. Below, we briefly list some research challenges where Formal Concept Analysis (FCA) could contribute as a method, and list some of the past FCA-related work on these issues.<sup>1</sup>

## 1 Ontology Generation

Semantic Web applications require knowledge represented in the form of ontologies. However, the generation of such ontologies is a formidable modeling task which requires both ontology modeling expertise, profound domain knowledge, and an understanding of technical application requirements. Any automated or semi-automated tools which make ontology generation simpler and less costly are therefore highly desirable to have.

Automated or semi-automated generation of ontologies has been studied to a considerable extent, and some of this work uses FCA as a main component [9–11, 21, 31, 50]. More recently, FCA has also found application for refining, completing, and improving ontologies [3, 4, 6, 13, 19, 20, 38, 40–42, 46–48]. Indeed, it turns out that FCA is indeed used as an ontology engineering component in recent application-driven work [5, 8, 16, 17, 30, 32, 37].

---

<sup>1</sup> We do not claim completeness with respect to FCA-based work in Semantic Web, and we may in particular miss early work – indeed Semantic Web as a field is not clearly defined, so it is sometimes a matter of judgement or opinion whether a paper is actually a Semantic Web paper.

## 2 Ontology Merging and Alignment

Ontology Alignment [15] refers to the process of merging two or more ontologies in order to create a larger ontology for use in applications. Tools for realizing alignments are based on a variety of techniques, and their performances differ substantially depending on the task at hand (see, e.g., the evaluation in [28]). FCA has been introduced early on as a method for ontology merging [12, 18, 35, 43, 44, 49].

## 3 Ontology-based Interfaces

Some work has investigated the use of FCA for interfaces, e.g. for the purpose of browsing data with underlying ontologies [11, 14, 45]. It seems that work related to this has, so far, only be very preliminary, so there might be further potential in this, in particular when considering the rapid expansion and rising popularity of Linked Data: To date, navigating these datasets is a tedious task, and better interfaces would have significant potential.

## 4 Ontology Cleansing

Usability of Linked Data is significantly hampered by the fact that it is still very *raw* data in the sense that it contains many mistakes and omissions, and cannot distinguish between different points of view [24]. In order to leverage logic-based methods mediated by ontology reasoning, data would be required to be of high modeling quality.

How to bridge this data quality gap is currently an open problem. Application of traditional methods from data mining and machine learning is limited, since the output of such methods is also, usually, prone to mistakes and errors (often measured by probabilistic confidence levels). FCA as an alternative *data clustering method* may have the potential to approach this problem, perhaps in an interactive manner akin to exploration-based completion of ontologies as performed in [40]. However, there seems to be no current work on this issue.

## 5 Ontology Language Development

Ontology languages currently being used are constantly being improved and revised in incremental standardization processes, e.g. through the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C). Such revisions of languages are driven both by theoretical investigations and by applicability concerns. The current situation regarding ontology languages is far from stable: While main paradigms seem to be agreed upon [26, 33, 36], the paradigms and their interactions are still being investigated (see, e.g., [34]) with respect to foundations and practice.

There has been some work which can be understood as dealing with the question whether FCA can be used to analyze or improve knowledge representation languages [1, 2, 22, 25]. However, this line of investigation has not been systematically investigated yet.

*Acknowledgement* The author acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation under award 1017225 III: *Small: TROn—Tractable Reasoning with Ontologies*.

## References

1. Baader, F., Distel, F.: A finite basis for the set of EL-implications holding in a finite model. In: Medina, R., Obiedkov, S. (eds.) Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Formal Concept Analysis, (ICFCA 2008). Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, vol. 4933, pp. 46–61. Springer (2008)
2. Baader, F., Distel, F.: Exploring finite models in the description logic  $EL_{\text{gfp}}$ . In: Ferré, S., Rudolph, S. (eds.) Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Formal Concept Analysis, (ICFCA 2009). Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, vol. 5548, pp. 146–161. Springer Verlag (2009)
3. Baader, F., Ganter, B., Sertkaya, B., Sattler, U.: Completing description logic knowledge bases using formal concept analysis. In: Veloso, M.M. (ed.) IJCAI 2007, Proceedings of the 20th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Hyderabad, India, January 6-12, 2007. pp. 230–235 (2007)
4. Baader, F., Sertkaya, B.: Applying formal concept analysis to description logics. In: Eklund, P. (ed.) Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Formal Concept Analysis (ICFCA 2004). Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 2961, pp. 261–286. Springer-Verlag (2004)
5. Bendaoud, R., Napoli, A., Toussaint, Y.: Formal concept analysis: A unified framework for building and refining ontologies. In: Gangemi, A., Euzenat, J. (eds.) Knowledge Engineering: Practice and Patterns, 16th International Conference, EKAW 2008, Acitrezza, Italy, September 29 - October 2, 2008. Proceedings. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5268, pp. 156–171. Springer (2008)
6. Bendaoud, R., Napoli, A., Toussaint, Y.: A proposal for an interactive ontology design process based on formal concept analysis. In: Eschenbach, C., Grüninger, M. (eds.) Formal Ontology in Information Systems, Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference, FOIS 2008, Saarbrücken, Germany, October 31st - November 3rd, 2008. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications, vol. 183, pp. 311–323. IOS Press (2008)
7. Bizer, C., Heath, T., Berners-Lee, T.: Linked data - the story so far. *Int. J. Semantic Web Inf. Syst.* 5(3), 1–22 (2009)
8. Cho, W.C., Richards, D.: Ontology construction and concept reuse with formal concept analysis for improved web document retrieval. *Web Intelligence and Agent Systems* 5(1), 109–126 (2007)
9. Cimiano, P.: Ontology learning from text using corpus-derived formal contexts. In: Hitzler, P., Schärfe, H. (eds.) Conceptual Structures in Practice, pp. 199–222. Chapman & Hall/CRC Press (2009)
10. Cimiano, P., Hotho, A., Staab, S.: Learning concept hierarchies from text corpora using formal concept analysis. *J. Artif. Intell. Res. (JAIR)* 24, 305–339 (2005)

11. Cimiano, P., Hotho, A., Stumme, G., Tane, J.: Conceptual knowledge processing with formal concept analysis and ontologies. In: Eklund, P.W. (ed.) *Concept Lattices, Second International Conference on Formal Concept Analysis, ICFCA 2004*, Sydney, Australia, February 23-26, 2004, Proceedings. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 2961, pp. 189–207. Springer (2004)
12. Curé, O.: Merging expressive ontologies using formal concept analysis. In: Meersman, R., Herrero, P., Dillon, T.S. (eds.) *On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems: OTM 2009 Workshops, Confederated International Workshops and Posters, ADI, CAMS, EI2N, ISDE, IWSSA, MONET, OnToContent, ODIS, ORM, OTM Academy, SWWS, SEMELS, Beyond SAWSDL, and COMBEK 2009*, Vilamoura, Portugal, November 1-6, 2009. Proceedings. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 5872, pp. 49–58. Springer (2009)
13. Distel, F.: An approach to exploring description logic knowledge bases. In: Sertkaya, B., Kwuida, L. (eds.) *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Formal Concept Analysis, (ICFCA 2010)*. *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 5986, pp. 209–224. Springer (2010)
14. Ducrou, J., Eklund, P.: Faceted document navigation. In: Hitzler, P., Schärfe, H. (eds.) *Conceptual Structures in Practice*, pp. 225–244. Chapman & Hall/CRC (2009)
15. Euzenat, J., Shvaiko, P.: *Ontology matching*. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (DE) (2007)
16. Fang, K., Chang, C., Chi, Y.: Using formal concept analysis to leverage ontology-based Acu-Point knowledge system. In: Zhang, D. (ed.) *Medical Biometrics, First International Conference, ICMB 2008*, Hong Kong, China, January 4-5, 2008, Proceedings. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 4901, pp. 115–121. Springer (2008)
17. Fu, G., Cohn, A.G.: Utility ontology development with formal concept analysis. In: Eschenbach, C., Grüninger, M. (eds.) *Formal Ontology in Information Systems, Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference, FOIS 2008*, Saarbrücken, Germany, October 31st - November 3rd, 2008. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, vol. 183, pp. 297–310. IOS Press (2008)
18. Ganter, B., Stumme, G.: Creation and merging of ontology top-levels. In: de Moor, A., Lex, W., Ganter, B. (eds.) *Conceptual Structures for Knowledge Creation and Communication, 11th International Conference on Conceptual Structures, ICCS 2003 Dresden, Germany, July 21-25, 2003 Proceedings*. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 2746, pp. 131–145. Springer (2003)
19. Hacene, M.R., Fennouh, S., Nkambou, R., Valtchev, P.: Refactoring of ontologies: Improving the design of ontological models with concept analysis. In: *22nd IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence, ICTAI 2010*, Arras, France, 27-29 October 2010 - Volume 2. pp. 167–172. IEEE Computer Society (2010)
20. Hacene, M.R., Huchard, M., Napoli, A., Valtchev, P.: A proposal for combining formal concept analysis and description logics for mining relational data. In: Kuznetsov, S.O., Schmidt, S. (eds.) *Formal Concept Analysis, 5th International Conference, ICFCA 2007*, Clermont-Ferrand, France, February 12-16, 2007, Proceedings. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 4390, pp. 51–65. Springer (2007)
21. Hacene, M.R., Napoli, A., Valtchev, P., Toussaint, Y., Bendaoud, R.: Ontology learning from text using relational concept analysis. In: *Proceedings of the 2008 International MCETECH Conference on e-Technologies*. pp. 154–163. IEEE Computer Society (2008)

22. Hitzler, P.: Default reasoning over domains and concept hierarchies. In: Biundo, S., Frühwirth, T.W., Palm, G. (eds.) *KI 2004: Advances in Artificial Intelligence, 27th Annual German Conference on AI, KI 2004, Ulm, Germany, September 20-24, 2004, Proceedings*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3238, pp. 351–365. Springer (2004)
23. Hitzler, P.: Towards reasoning pragmatics. In: Janowicz, K., Raubal, M., Levashkin, S. (eds.) *GeoSpatial Semantics, Third International Conference, GeoS 2009, Mexico City, Mexico, December 3–4, 2009. Proceedings*. pp. 9–25. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer (2009)
24. Hitzler, P., van Harmelen, F.: A reasonable semantic web. *Semantic Web* 1(1–2), 39–44 (2010)
25. Hitzler, P., Krötzsch, M.: Querying formal contexts with answer set programs. In: Schärfe, H., Hitzler, P., Øhrstrøm, P. (eds.) *Conceptual Structures: Inspiration and Application, 14th International Conference on Conceptual Structures, ICCS 2006, Aalborg, Denmark, July 16–21, 2006, Proceedings*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4068, pp. 260–273. Springer (2006)
26. Hitzler, P., Krötzsch, M., Parsia, B., Patel-Schneider, P.F., Rudolph, S. (eds.): *OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Primer*. W3C Proposed Recommendation 22 September 2009 (2009), available from <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-primer/>
27. Hitzler, P., Krötzsch, M., Rudolph, S.: *Foundations of Semantic Web Technologies*. Chapman & Hall/CRC (2009)
28. Jain, P., Hitzler, P., Sheth, A.P., Verma, K., Yeh, P.Z.: Ontology alignment for linked open data. In: Patel-Schneider, P., Pan, Y., Hitzler, P., Mika, P., Zhang, L., Pan, J., Horrocks, I., Glimm, B. (eds.) *The Semantic Web - ISWC 2010. 9th International Semantic Web Conference, ISWC 2010, Shanghai, China, November 7–11, 2010, Revised Selected Papers, Part I*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 6496, pp. 402–417. Springer (2010)
29. Jain, P., Hitzler, P., Yeh, P.Z., Verma, K., Sheth, A.P.: Linked Data is Merely More Data. In: Brickley, D., Chaudhri, V.K., Halpin, H., McGuinness, D. (eds.) *Linked Data Meets Artificial Intelligence*. pp. 82–86. AAAI Press, Menlo Park, CA (2010)
30. Jäschke, R., Hotho, A., Schmitz, C., Ganter, B., Stumme, G.: Discovering shared conceptualizations in folksonomies. *J. Web Sem.* 6(1), 38–53 (2008)
31. Jia, H., Newman, J., Tianfield, H.: A new formal concept analysis based learning approach to ontology building. In: Sicilia, M.Á., Lytras, M.D. (eds.) *Metadata and Semantics, Post-proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Metadata and Semantics Research, MTSR 2007, Corfu Island in Greece, 1–2 October 2007*. pp. 433–444. Springer (2007)
32. Jiang, G., Pathak, J., Chute, C.G.: Formalizing ICD coding rules using formal concept analysis. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* 42(3), 504–517 (2009)
33. Kifer, M.: Rule Interchange Format: The framework. In: Calvanese, D., Lausen, G. (eds.) *Web Reasoning and Rule Systems, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 5341, pp. 1–11. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2008)
34. Krötzsch, M., Maier, F., Krisnadhi, A., Hitzler, P.: A better uncle for OWL: Nominal schemas for integrating rules and ontologies. In: *Proceedings of the 20th International World Wide Web Conference, WWW2011, Hyderabad, India, March/April 2011* (2011), to appear
35. Li, G.y., Liu, S.p., Zhao, Y.: Formal concept analysis based ontology merging method. In: *Computer Science and Information Technology (ICCSIT), 2010 3rd IEEE International Conference on*. pp. 279–282. IEEE (2010)

36. Manola, F., Miller, E. (eds.): Resource Description Framework (RDF). Primer. W3C Recommendation (10 February 2004), available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-primer/>
37. Ning, L., Guanyu, L., Li, S.: Using formal concept analysis for maritime ontology building. *Information Technology and Applications, International Forum on 2*, 159–162 (2010)
38. Rudolph, S.: *Relational Exploration – Combining Description Logics and Formal Concept Analysis for Knowledge Specification*. Universitätsverlag Karlsruhe (2007)
39. Rudolph, S., Krötzsch, M., Hitzler, P.: Quo vadis, CS? - on the (non)-impact of conceptual structures on the semantic web. In: Priss, U., Polovina, S., Hill, R. (eds.) *Conceptual Structures: Knowledge Architectures for Smart Applications, 15th International Conference on Conceptual Structures, ICCS 2007, Sheffield, UK, July 22-27, 2007, Proceedings*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4604, pp. 464–467. Springer (2007)
40. Rudolph, S., Völker, J.: A lexico-logical approach to ontology engineering. In: Pascal Hitzler, H.S. (ed.) *Conceptual Structures in Practice*, pp. 225–244. Chapman & Hall/CRC (2009)
41. Rudolph, S., Völker, J., Hitzler, P.: Supporting lexical ontology learning by relational exploration. In: Priss, U., Polovina, S., Hill, R. (eds.) *Conceptual Structures: Knowledge Architectures for Smart Applications, 15th International Conference on Conceptual Structures, ICCS 2007, Sheffield, UK, July 22-27, 2007, Proceedings*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4604, pp. 488–491. Springer (2007)
42. Sertkaya, B.: Computing the hierarchy of conjunctions of concept names and their negations in a description logic knowledge base using formal concept analysis. In: *Supplementary Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Formal Concept Analysis, (ICFCA 2006)*. Dresden, Germany (2006)
43. de Souza, K.X.S., Davis, J., de Medeiros Evangelista, S.R.: Aligning ontologies, evaluating concept similarities and visualizing results. *Journal on Data Semantics V* pp. 211–236 (2006)
44. Stumme, G., Maedche, A.: FCA-MERGE: Bottom-up merging of ontologies. In: Nebel, B. (ed.) *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2001, Seattle, Washington, USA, August 4-10, 2001*. pp. 225–234. Morgan Kaufmann (2001)
45. Tane, J., Cimiano, P., Hitzler, P.: Query-based multicontexts for knowledge base browsing: An evaluation. In: Schärfe, H., Hitzler, P., Øhrstrøm, P. (eds.) *Conceptual Structures: Inspiration and Application, 14th International Conference on Conceptual Structures, ICCS 2006, Aalborg, Denmark, July 16-21, 2006, Proceedings*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4068, pp. 413–426. Springer (2006)
46. Völker, J.: *Learning Expressive Ontologies, Studies on the Semantic Web*, vol. 002. IOS Press (2009)
47. Völker, J., Rudolph, S.: Fostering web intelligence by semi-automatic OWL ontology refinement. In: *2008 IEEE / WIC / ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence, WI 2008, 9-12 December 2008, Sydney, NSW, Australia, Main Conference Proceedings*. pp. 454–460. IEEE (2008)
48. Völker, J., Rudolph, S.: Lexico-logical acquisition of OWL DL axioms. In: Medina, R., Obiedkov, S.A. (eds.) *Formal Concept Analysis, 6th International Conference, ICFCA 2008, Montreal, Canada, February 25-28, 2008, Proceedings*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4933, pp. 62–77. Springer (2008)
49. Zhao, Y., Wang, X., Halang, W.: Ontology mapping based on rough formal concept analysis. *Advanced International Conference on Telecommunications / Internet and Web Applications and Services, International Conference on 0*, 180 (2006)

50. Zhou, W., Liu, Z.T., Zhao, Y.: Ontology learning by clustering based on fuzzy formal concept analysis. *Computer Software and Applications Conference, Annual International 1*, 204–210 (2007)